



Do not enter  
BZ

AF/2875

Attorney Docket SEL 201

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In Re Application of )  
Hajime Kamura )  
Serial No.: 09/631,067 )  
Filed: August 1, 2000 )  
For: Front Light And Electronic Device )  
Art Unit: 2875 )  
Examiner: B. Zeade )

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:  
Commissioner for Patents ,  
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

DECEMBER 19, 2003

(Date of Deposit)

ELAUNDA BANKS

Name of applicant, assignee, or Registered Rep.

Elaunda Banks

Signature

12/19/03  
Date

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**AMENDMENT (D) (AFTER FINAL)**

Sir:

In furtherance of Applicant's prior response to the Final Rejection of September 10, 2003,  
please amend the above-identified application as follows:

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

RECEIVED  
DEC 31 2003  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800



BEST AVAILABLE COPY

AF 2875

Attorney Docket SEL 201

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of )  
)  
Hajime Kamura )  
)  
Serial No.: 09/631,067 )  
)  
Filed: August 1, 2000 )  
)  
For: Front Light And Electronic Device )  
)  
Art Unit: 2875 )  
)  
Examiner: B. Zeade )

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:  
Commissioner for Patents,  
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

December 10, 2003

(Date of Deposit)

Shannon Wallace

Name of applicant, assignee, or Registered Rep.

Shannon Wallace 12/10/03

Signature

Date

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE (C) (AFTER FINAL)

Sir:

Applicant has the following response to the Final Rejection of September 10, 2000

Applicant appreciates the allowance of Claims 2, 5-7, 9-11, 15 and 18-27. Applicant will now address the Examiner's remaining rejection.

In the Final Rejection, the Examiner is maintaining the rejection of Claims 1, 3-4, 8-9, 12-13, 20 and 23 under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by Beeson et al. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

More specifically, in the Final Rejection, the Examiner contends that Beeson discloses a light guide plate (6/82) and a plurality of prism-shaped lenses (80/28) each being in contact with a lower surface of the light guide (6/82). Applicant disagrees.

Reference numeral 82 in Beeson is a substrate which is to serve as a spacer between the microlenses 80 and the microprisms 28 (see col. 8, lns. 44-46 in Beeson). It is not a light guide plate, as recited in the claimed invention. Further, as shown in Fig. 7 in Beeson, the alleged prism shaped

RECEIVED  
DEC 23 2003  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800